

General Education Council  
Minutes of February 4, 2013

Present: Karla Davis-Salazar (chair), Manish Agrawal, Dan Belgrad, Annette Christy, William Cummings, Jeff Cunningham,

agreed to keep the status quo a while longer, i.e., keep all recertifications on hold and allow those on hold to be recertified after 6 years rather than 5. These decisions are due to the statewide general education changes that remain in progress and undecided.

### New Business

Karla Davis-Salazar began the discussion by asking the General Education Council to consider what they perceive as their role with regard to the state-mandated courses that will be implemented for USF.

One reply was that the council's role is ensuring 'quality control.'

Another response indicated there is nothing we can do, since what the state ultimately decides will be mandatory.

Questions were raised regarding what mechanism will be put in place to ensure these mandated courses are taught effectively and that learning outcomes are being met.

Several council members asked about funding for general education courses and how to go about obtaining it.

Department chairs will decide how many sections of these courses will be needed, based on 'supply and demand.'

The State must meet USF's FKL requirements, because it is beneficial to our students.

A council member asked whether these state-mandated courses will have to go through the usual New Course Proposal process. If it is a new course for USF, then yes. Substantive changes to any existing USF courses that are among the state-mandated ones will also require review. The GEC process could be abbreviated some to enable state-mandated courses a faster process due to the short timing required for these courses to be in place, as reflected in the current state timetable.

The Chair mentioned that the rule in which all SUS institutions 'must accept and offer' the mandated courses change.

There was discussion of SCH (Student Credit Hours) vs. budget issues.

The Provost is interested in lowering the number of general education courses that we offer. But the point was made that the faculty own the curriculum.

The Provost wants USF general education curriculum to be distinct.

Discussion points:

This 139] TT BTFA BT 1( de)10(ic appo)5(n c)-2(h)ach2(H)3( TJ ET ( )] h)3(e )]BT 1 ET ed,ei. 0 0

There was discussion regarding "gatekeeper" courses