

**SGEF Application Review Portal:** Greetings, Zaida Darley!

[All Applications](#) [Manage Reviewers](#) [Email Web Admin](#) [Email SGEF Admin](#) [Log Out](#)

## View Full Application

**Administrator:** Primary Investigator and Co-Investigator contacts and Organization information is visible only to administrators.

### Retrofit of Central Utilities Plant Main Lighting (\$60,000.00)

[Project Details »](#)

[Return On Investment Details »](#)

[Comments »](#)



	translates to 182,271 lbs of eCO2 emissions reduced per year.
<b>Sustainability Plan</b> 11 words	This lighting will be maintained by the Central Utilities Plant personnel.

**Return On Investment Details**

<b>Energy</b>	139564 kWh
<b>CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions</b>	246590.04904 pounds CO <sub>2</sub> per kWh
<b>Cost Savings</b>	\$15352.04
<b>Return On Investment</b>	25.00%

**Reviewer Comments (Add Yours)**

On 2011-10-25 20:33:20, **Christian Wells** said:

The energy savings for a project such as this are relatively easy to calculate, so are very likely to be pretty accurate. The ROI is a function of cost, but if the stated ROI is per year, then even a significant underestimation of cost will not result in a long payback period. The process to achieve the stated savings is straightforward and technically reasonable.

On 2011-10-21 22:46:26, **Delcie Durham** said:

Return on investment is estimated at \$15k which is a good payback over 4 years on the retrofit. It would have been good to include what, if any, the expected maintenance costs would be - i.e if induction lighting has a life that it is much longer than current lighting, then there is even more savings than the direct electrical use and this would improve the ROI. I highly recommend this project as having a significant impact on energy use and gashouse emissions.

On 2011-10-20 14:00:49, **Margaret Rush** said:

It would be good to clearly define the ROI, that figure seems to be missing along with the requested funding.

On 2011-10-20 13:56:50, **Margaret Rush** said:

